scientific reports

Check for updates

OPEN Localization versus delocalization of *d*-states within the Ni₂MnGa **Heusler alloy**

Jozef Janovec^{1,2,3}, Martin Zelený^{3,4}, Oleg Heczko^{4,5} & Andrés Ayuela^{1,2}

We present calculations based on density-functional theory with improved exchange-correlation approaches to investigate the electronic structure of Ni₂MnGa magnetic shape memory alloy prototype. We study the effects of hybrid functionals as well as a Hubbard-like correction parameter U on the structural, electronic and magnetic properties of the alloy. We show that the previously successful application of U on Mn should be extended by including U on Ni to describe the d localized electrons more accurately and in better agreement with experiments. The bonding interactions within this intermetallic alloy are analysed including the role of non-transition metal. We found that the strongest and most stabilizing bond is formed between the Ga-Ni pairs due to the delocalized s-s and *p*-s orbital hybridization. Our findings suggest that minimization of the over-delocalization error introduced by standard semi-local exchange-correlation functionals leads to a better description of the Ni₂MnGa alloy. Furthermore we propose that the experimental total magnetic moment of Ni–Mn– Ga alloys could be increased after carefully selected heat treatment procedures.

Ferromagnetic alloys with the stoichiometry around Ni₂MnGa are well-known for their magneto-mechanical properties predominantly involving the magnetic shape memory (MSM) effect^{1,2}, that is, a non-negligible deformation induced by an external magnetic field with attained maximum strains up to 12%³. The MSM occurs in a low-temperature martensite phase arising from the structural reorientation of highly mobile twin boundaries. This is caused by the alignment of the local magnetic moments with the external field due to the large magnetocrystalline anisotropy^{1,2,4,5}. The high temperature austenite phase of Ni₂MnGa is characterized by the cubic L2₁ structure⁶, typical of Heusler alloys (see Fig. 1a). Upon cooling, the austenite phase undergoes one or multiple transformations into modulated martensite with c/a < 1 (periodic stacking of nanotwinned planes) and tetragonal non-modulated (NM) martensite with parallel crystallographic planes and c/a > 1, depending on stoichiometry⁷⁻⁹. The martensite stabilization of Ni₂MnGa is generally seen as a consequence of the interplay between nesting on the Fermi surface^{10,11}, electron-phonon interactions^{12,13} and the Jahn-Teller effect^{14,15}, closely related to Ni d orbitals.

In Ni-Mn-Ga alloys, ferromagnetism originates from Mn and Ni having unpaired valence electrons in their d-block, with the major contribution to the magnetization due to Mn atoms. Experimental values of the total magnetic moment vary between $\mu_{tot} = 3.4 - 4.2 \,\mu_{B}/f.u.^{6,7,15-18}$. A wide range of the measured magnetization saturation values (see Fig. 1b) originates from the high sensitivity of Ni-Mn-Ga alloys to composition. In particular, $\mu_{\rm tot}$ decreases in both Mn deficient/excess off-stoichiometric alloys since the additional manganese atoms tend to occupy Ga sites where they align antiferromagnetically with the Mn sublattice¹⁹. Within near stoichiometric alloys, such disorder is present in the L21 structure as a trace of the phase transformation from the B2' simple cubic structure consisting of one Ni-occupied and one Mn/Ga shared sublattice with complete disorder between Mn and Ga atoms. The B2' to L21 ordering transformation temperature is approximately 800 °C for stoichiometric composition and occurs at even lower temperatures in off-stoichiometric alloys²⁰. It is noteworthy that the disorder between Ga and Mn atoms occupying the same sublattices is found in most samples as a result of conventional heat treatments at high temperatures^{7,15}.

The heat treatment of samples includes homogenization usually at 800 °C or higher followed by quenching^{7,17,18}. The ordering transformation was reported to be almost instantaneous²⁰ hence we expect no

¹Donostia International Physics Center (DIPC), Manuel de Lardizabal 4, 20018 San Sebastián, Spain. ²Centro de Física de Materiales-MPC CSIC-UPV/EHU, Manuel de Lardizabal 5, 20018 San Sebastián, Spain. ³Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Institute of Materials Science and Engineering, Brno University of Technology, Technická 2896/2, Brno 61669, Czech Republic. ⁴Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Ke Karlovu 5, Prague 12116, Czech Republic. ⁵FZU – Institute of Physics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Na Slovance 1999/2, Praque 18221, Czech Republic. [⊠]email: a.ayuela@csic.es



Figure 1. Depiction of (**a**) conventional $L2_1$ austenite unit cell with 8-atom computational cell highlighted, (**b**) experimentally measured magnetization saturation as a function of temperature, and (**c**) the same data represented in squared coordinates with extrapolation of linear sections to 0 K (solid line for austenite and dash line for martensite), following Ref.¹⁶. The legend includes abbreviations q. and s.c. to denote quenched and slowly cooled samples after the high temperature homogenization, respectively. The magnetization of austenite extrapolated to 0 K is higher than that of martensite (the difference is given by colour bars in the left axis).

residual disorder between Mn and Ga at room temperature. Nevertheless, it was shown that the saturation magnetization can be increased by annealing²¹. After 18 h annealing at 315 °C the magnetic moment of the martensite phase increased by around 29% and of the L2₁ phase by about 1 μ_B compared to rapid quenched ribbon sample, linked to an increase in the Curie temperature. Such annealing procedure decreases the concentration of both Mn vacancies as well as Mn atoms on Ga sites that are antiferromagnetically coupled to the other ferromagnetic sublattices^{19,22} which effectively increases the total magnetic moment. Moreover, Fig. 1b,c show that higher magnetization can be measured on slowly cooled samples¹⁶ as opposed to the quenched ones. These facts suggest that Mn/Ga disorder is present even in the low temperature phases and more precise heat treatment leads to a measurement of larger magnetic moment than the values obtained after standard preparation procedures that nowadays include high homogenization temperatures and subsequent quenching.

Understanding the structural and magnetic couplings in Heusler alloys is key to the ongoing research, where first-principles calculations have become an invaluable tool⁵. Density-functional theory (DFT) based codes traditionally use the exchange-correlation potential described by the local density approximation (LDA)^{23,24} or the semi-local generalized gradient approximation (GGA)^{14,25,26}. Despite their efficiency in many applications, LDA and GGA are well known to underestimate electronic band gaps²⁷ and to poorly describe correlation of localized *d* and *f* electrons. This behaviour results from the over-delocalization of the electronic wave function caused by the self-interaction error inherent to DFT methods^{28,29}. A better description of correlated systems can be obtained by applying hybrid functionals³⁰ or by increasing the on-site Coulomb interaction within the DFT +U method inspired by the Hubbard model^{31,32}.

Hybrid functionals are based on an admixture of the exact non-local Hartree-Fock (HF) and local or semilocal DFT exchange while the correlation functional is kept from DFT. A significant drawback of calculating the HF exchange is its slow decay in space, typical of long-range interactions, that results in higher computational time requirements. To overcome expensive integrations over the exchange interactions, Heyd, Scuseria and Ernzerhof proposed the screened hybrid functionals (HSE) in which the range of HF exchange is controlled by a tunable parameter³³. In pure magnetic metals with direct d-d interactions, hybrid functionals were reported to overestimate magnetic moments³⁴⁻³⁶; however, Cu–Au alloys with fully occupied localized d shells, which are</sup> intertwined with sp bands, seem to be better described by hybrid functionals^{37,38}. Ni₂MnGa is an intermetallic alloy composed of two transition metals with localized d orbitals hybridized with the broad sp Ga bands, which suggests more indirect interactions than in element bulk magnets. Experiments on Ni-Mn-Ga alloys with the modulated martensite phases show a pseudogap in [110] k-direction³⁹ that already requires going beyond GGA to be explained⁴⁰. Experiments using X-ray magnetic circular dichroism investigated nickel magnetic moments in more detail, and showed that the local Ni moments in Ni-Mn-Ga alloys behave differently from those in Ni bulk⁴¹. The Ni sites in Ni₂MnGa have also larger magnetic moments than 0.33 μ_B^{15-17} assigned by using GGA. Then, all these results suggest performing further calculations with hybrid functionals for the case of Ni-Mn-Ga alloys.

Another approach that allows a better description of the localized orbitals is the Hubbard-like DFT +U model. Within this method, highly correlated *d* and *f* orbitals are selectively affected by an additional on-site Coulomb interaction while other electrons are treated by standard LDA or GGA approximation⁴². An advantage of DFT +U approach over the hybrid functionals is a considerably lower computational cost comparable with LDA or GGA. Previous DFT +U studies on Ni–Mn–Ga alloys used the U parameter only on Mn sites^{43–46} and they already reported improved description of the martensite phase giving better agreement with experimental data e.g. prediction of the correct ground state structure and improved lattice and elastic constants. The reported U



Figure 2. (a) Relaxed austenite lattice constant as a function of U applied to different sites compared with HSE03 and experimental value⁶, (b) bulk modulus calculated using HSE03 and DFT +U (colour lines) compared with experiments (black line⁵¹ and grey area^{13,51,52}), and (c) total magnetic moments calculated using HSE03 and DFT +U compared with experimental value measured on modulated martensite⁶. Solid lines represent cubic austenite (in (c) panel the black solid line belongs to extrapolated value) and dash-dotted/ dashed lines belong to NM/10M martensite. Both HSE03 and U on Ni predict larger total magnetic moment of austenite comparing to NM martensite at 0 K.

values used on the Mn *d* orbitals range from U = 5.97 eV calculated by the linear response approach⁴³ to U = 3.93 eV obtained by a fit to the experimental elastic constants⁴⁴. Even a lower value of U = 1.8 eV was applied to Mn in works on doped Ni–Mn–Ga alloys in order to fit experiments on martensite phases^{45,46}. The calculations with U on Mn larger than 1.2 eV correctly identify the five-layered modulated martensite (10M) as the ground state structure⁴⁷ while GGA predicts a theoretical four-layered 4O martensite as the most stable structure⁴⁸. On the other hand, calculations using GGA⁴⁹ or U on Mn^{46,47} fail to predict the cubic phase as a high magnetic moment structure at 0 K. It follows from Fig. 1c that by extrapolating the linear part of the austenite magnetization curve to zero Kelvin we obtain higher magnetization of the austenite phase compared to the martensite phase. The literature review shows that U applied to Mn provides more accurate description of the modulated martensite structures, however, a comparison with the austenite phase is missing.

Even though Ni electrons are generally considered as delocalized and therefore U is omitted in calculations, the analysis of effective magnetic moments suggests that Ni subsystem has localized character¹⁷. Also, Ni has a significant effect on the energies and magnetic properties of the alloy⁵⁰, therefore we need to study the U corrections not only on Mn but also on Ni atoms.

In this paper we consider two approaches to include the localized d electrons in the stoichiometric Ni₂MnGa alloy using the HSE03 hybrid functional and the DFT +U method. We first study trends for structural and magnetic properties based on the applied exchange-correlation method. Using several levels of theory we discuss the found tetragonal phases, and analyse their band structure as well as the chemical bonding.

Results

Structural, elastic and magnetic properties. The relaxed equilibrium lattice constants of the austenite unit cell calculated with different methods are compared in Fig. 2a. Comparing several hybrid functionals, the austenite lattice constant using HSE03 is almost the same as when using $HSE06^{53}$, and decreases by 0.01Å when calculated using the PBE0⁵⁴. The unit cell volume of the austenite phase increases when the Hubbard U is applied to Mn atoms, when applied to Ni the volume first decreases slightly for U in the 1–3 eV range and then bounces back up at U = 5 eV.

We have next calculated the bulk modulus for the austenite phase by fitting the Murnaghan equation of state. The bulk modulus varies from 156 GPa predicted by GGA to 117 GPa obtained by HSE03, as shown in Fig. 2b. The available experimental values measured in the austenite phase at room temperature are valued around 133 GPa⁵¹. Note that the trend of having a lower austenite bulk modulus due to enhanced localization is in good agreement with experiments^{13,51,52}. The sudden change in the bulk modulus versus U dependence at U (Ni) = 5 eV results from the use of a harder Ni potential including 3*p* valence electrons. Further test calculations with a softer Ni potential showed smooth trends for the bulk modulus and the lattice constant in the whole range of tested U values.

An increase in the magnetization calculated by HSE03 was expected due to stronger electron localization and pronounced exchange splitting, as observed in bulk metallic systems^{35,36}. Calculations using meta-GGA exchange-correlation functional also predict higher magnetization than GGA^{47,55} (see also Supplementary Information SI Figs. 1, 2). Our HSE03 calculations predict the austenite total magnetic moment equal to 5.98 $\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm f.u.}$



Figure 3. Comparison of (**a**) total energies, and (**b**) corresponding total magnetic moments per formula unit μ_{tot} (plotted on the *z* axis) along the tetragonal deformation path (*c/a* on *x* axis), calculated using HSE03 and DFT +U (U on Ni in blue, U on Ni and Mn in orange, U on Mn in grey). Standard GGA results are represented by black dashed lines. Energies are plotted relative to the energy of the austenite phase. 2D representation of Fig. 3 can be found in the Supplementary Information SI Fig. 1.

in contrast with 4.11 $\mu_{\rm B}$ /f.u. obtained by uncorrected GGA. The comparison of local magnetic moments using HSE03 and GGA shows that the Mn magnetic moment becomes larger by around 20%, and the Ni local magnetic moment is more than doubled when hybrid functionals are used. The total magnetization of the austenite phase

moment is more than doubled when hybrid functionals are used. The total magnetization of the austenite phase calculated with other hybrid functionals is in agreement: the HSE06 and PBE0 calculations predict 5.91 $\mu_{\rm B}$ and 5.78 $\mu_{\rm B}$ respectively. These test calculations in the case of Ni₂MnGa alloy showed that the results obtained by the above hybrid functionals are similar, therefore calculations presented in the following are performed using the HSE03 functional because its convergence behaviour was found to be better for this system.

In general, the DFT +U calculations enhance the localization of transition metal d electrons hence we can see a growth of local magnetic moments when applying the U parameter on either Ni or Mn atoms. The total magnetic moment of the austenite phase calculated with HSE03 is closely approached when using U = 5 eV on Ni and Mn simultaneously. The total magnetization of the austenite and martensite phases is given as function of the U parameter in Fig. 2c. Note that the total magnetization of the austenite at 0 K is smaller than the one of the martensite when we use uncorrected GGA or U correction on Mn. Contrarily meta-GGA SCAN^{47,55–57}, HSE03 and U on Ni all predict the austenite phase to have larger μ_{tot} at zero Kelvin (emphasized by arrows in Fig. 2c and SI Fig. 2c).

Tetragonal distortion. We investigated the stability of non-modulated (NM) tetragonal structures following the total energy as a function of the tetragonal deformation obtained by elongating and compressing the austenite phase⁴⁹, while keeping the unit cell volume constant and equal to the equilibrium austenite volume to avoid non-systematic errors. We find that the energy versus c/a curve calculated with HSE03 has two distinct minima corresponding to two different magnetic states. Firstly, there is a minimum at the cubic ratio c/a = 1 that is characterized by a larger magnetic moment with value around 6 $\mu_B/f.u.$ (empty red circles in Fig. 3). Secondly, there is a martensite structure solution with $c/a \approx 1.08$, having the total magnetic moment around 5.1 $\mu_B/f.u.$ (full red circles in Fig. 3). Note that uncorrected GGA calculations indicated by the black dashed lines in Fig. 3 predict a global minimum of NM martensite at $c/a \approx 1.25^{49}$. Compared with standard GGA results, the c/a ratio of the NM martensite calculated with HSE03 functional decreases, the corresponding energy minimum is shallow, which implies that its stability with respect to the austenite phase is lower. Furthermore, the slope of the energy curve versus c/a becomes steeper in the case of hybrid functionals, a fact that points towards a higher tetragonal shear modulus C' predicted by hybrids.

When we use the U correction parameter simultaneously on Ni and Mn sites there is a combination of effects that arise when U is applied separately to Ni and Mn. On the one hand, U on Mn destabilizes the NM martensite⁴³, and rapidly decreases its tetragonality (grey lines in Fig. 3a. On the other hand, using U on Ni strongly stabilizes the NM martensite and the *c/a* ratio decreases less rapidly. Furthermore, there is a new metastable tetragonal structure with c/a < 1 (blue lines in Fig. 3a) having *c/a* about 0.95 which is close to the experimental tetragonality of modulated martensite structures. It is noteworthy that GGA calculations predicted phases with c/a < 1 when using large computational supercells and the modulation of crystallographic planes^{58,59}. The calculations with U on both Ni and Mn sites follow the same trends as in the case of U on Ni, but with less stabilized tetragonal structures due to the U parameter on Mn. The effect of U on Mn becomes more important in the case of U (Ni &Mn) = 5 eV because the NM martensite stability decreases, and the energy difference $\Delta E_{Austenite-NM}$ becomes comparable to the one calculated with HSE03. Concerning the *c/a* values of the NM martensite and the total magnetic moment, the best match between HSE03 and DFT +U method can be found in the range of U (Ni &Mn) = 3–5 eV. From the above analysis of tetragonal deformation we show that the

structural stability of Ni_2MnGa alloy is strongly dependent on electron interactions involving Ni atoms, so that a more precise treatment of Ni *d* electrons should be carefully considered in theoretical calculations.

Figure 3 shows that in the case of HSE03 and U = 5 eV on Ni the *E* vs. *c/a* curve splits into the high magnetic moment cubic structure and the low magnetic moment tetragonal martensite. In order to investigate the dependence of crystal structure on magnetization, we perform fixed spin magnetic moment calculations along the tetragonal deformation path that indicate a strong influence of total magnetic moment on the present minima. Firstly, calculations using the HSE03 hybrid functional with fixed $\mu_{tot} = 4.1 \,\mu_B/f.u$. predict a martensite phase with *c/a* equal to 1.24, that is the tetragonality given by the result of the GGA calculations. Secondly, using GGA and imposing a net magnetic moment of $5.5 \,\mu_B/f.u$. leads to a *E* vs. *c/a* curve that resembles the tetragonal deformation path obtained by the HSE03 hybrid functional with a minimum at the tetragonality ratio *c/a* = 1.06. The same behaviour was found for DFT +U calculations with U = 3 eV applied simultaneously on Ni and Mn. These tests suggest that the magnetic moment becomes the leading parameter when analysing the stability of the Ni₂MnGaMnGa martensite structures, assumption that is supported by the experimental evidence since the paramagnetic austenite in off-stoichiometric alloys always transforms into the NM martensite⁵.

Electronic structure. In order to understand effects of exchange-correlation potentials on the electronic structure we calculated band structures with different methods which can be found in the supplementary information material (SI Fig. 3). The GGA band structure shows broad bonding bands below – 4 eV and antibonding above the Fermi level (E_F), with a *s* and *s*–*p* like contribution of Ga states in these regions. In between we find the *d* bands belonging to Ni and Mn atoms that are flat and more localized. These interacting Ni *d* and Mn *d* orbitals show a distinct separation between bonding and antibonding states at the gamma point below E_F . The antibonding Mn *d* states in the spin-down channel are shifted above E_F due to the strong Mn exchange splitting. The tetragonal splitting of the Ni states is mostly related to two e_g orbitals that form an antibonding peak at E_F , which is held responsible for stabilizing the tetragonal phases by breaking its twofold degeneracy¹⁴.

The hybrid functional (see SI Fig. 3) stabilizes all the spin-up bands as a result of a robust exchange splitting of Mn spin-down bands and to some extend of the Ni *d* electrons. The spin-down channels are nearly free of Mn states resulting in the Mn magnetic moment increase when compared to the GGA calculations. The spin-up valence region near E_F consists of Ga *p* and Ni *d* hybridized orbitals. The band structure calculated with U = 5 eV on Ni and Mn reproduces the band separation of the HSE03 limiting case with an even stronger localization of the Ni states.

The flat spin-up Ni e_g bands in the austenite phase are predicted by GGA to be positioned at E_F which corresponds to the density of states (DOS) peak at the Fermi level and causes the instability of the cubic phase^{14,15}. However, the same DOS peak calculated by HSE03 and DFT +U with large values of U shifts slightly above the Fermi level, and the splitting of this peak along the martensitic tetragonal deformation provides less stabilization than in the case of GGA. The energy difference between the austenite and the NM martensite is therefore considerably smaller in HSE03 and DFT +U with U = 5 eV on Ni and Mn than in standard GGA results, as Fig. 3a shows.

In GGA results the two uppermost spin-down orbitals of the austenite are shifted towards higher energies in a way that the half-filled spin-down e_g^* orbital is positioned at E_F . The occupation of spin-up orbitals leads to an excess of 4 spin-up electrons corresponding to a magnetization around 4 $\mu_B/f.u.$ obtained by GGA. When we apply hybrid functionals or high U parameter, the exchange splitting increases and the energy of the spin-up orbitals decreases, so that the half-filled spin-down orbital moves above E_F . Thus, the number of spin-up electrons increases by one and the total magnetic moment amounts to 6 $\mu_B/f.u.$, which is close to values obtained using hybrids or high values of U.

Bonding analysis. Besides the band structure calculations, in order to build an orbital diagram as shown in Fig. 4, we projected the optimized plane wave description of the problem onto a local basis set using the LOB-STER code^{60,61}. The local basis set allows us to decompose the site DOS (abbreviated as pDOS) more accurately by incorporating part of the density that is outside of the projection spheres used by the VASP code. From the projected local orbitals we computed the projected crystal orbital Hamilton population (pCOHP)⁶², a bond analysis tool that details bonding and antibonding interactions. We focus mainly on the spin-up channel since the spin-down channel possesses similar features shifted due to the exchange splitting. The spin-up valence region calculated with U = 3 eV on Ni and Mn (Fig. 5) can be roughly divided into four regions: (i) within the range of energies between – 10 and – 7 eV the *s* region dominated by Ga electrons is shown, (ii) around – 6 eV there is the *s*–*p* region with mainly Ga *p* and Ni *s* hybridization, (iii) between – 5.5 and – 2.5 eV the *p*–*d* region is found where Ga *p* states mix with Ni *d* and Mn *d* states, and (iv) the *d* states dominate the region composed of Ni and Mn overlapping states in the interval from – 3 eV to E_F . Based on this picture we propose a possible orbital diagram for the Ni₂MnGa alloy (Fig. 4). The current alloy can be treated as a pseudobinary systems (Ni–Ga) (Ni–Mn) where each half is dealing with sublattices showing the major interactions.

The L2₁ structure can be seen as four interpenetrating FCC sublattices two of which are occupied by Ni atoms but not all sublattice interactions are equivalent. Focusing on the nearest neighbours we first consider the main interaction of Ni and Ga, then the secondary interaction between Ni and Mn. The orbitalwise projected pCOHP (see SI Fig. 4) identifies a significant contribution of Ni t_{2g} orbitals in all the interactions with Ga *p* orbitals. We therefore first find the interaction of the Ni and Ga *s* orbitals dominating the low lying bonding region and next the Ni t_{2g} -Ga *p* interaction as the left part of Fig. 4 shows. Besides, the *d* orbitals of the Ni and Mn sublattices interact to form bonding and antibonding orbitals (the right part of Fig. 4). The sublattices interact through these two intermediate interactions. The low energy Ni–Ga *s* orbital is not affected and the *s* orbital due to the Ni–Mn interaction hybridizes with Ga *p* creating the bonding spin-up DOS peak at – 6 eV and shifted spin-down peak



Figure 4. Orbital diagram for Ni₂MnGa. The main interaction between Ni and Ga lattices after hybridization is given on the left side; the intermediate interaction between Ni and Mn atoms on the right side. Subsequently the Ni–Ga levels are refined because of the Ni–Mn interaction to form the diagram for the Ni₂MnGa alloy. Both spin channels are depicted as degenerate for simplicity.



Figure 5. LOBSTER results of (**a**) pDOS projected on atoms, and (**b**–**e**) projected crystal orbital Hamilton population (pCOHP) calculated for atom pairs. The negative pCOHP represents stabilizing (bonding) while positive pCOHP represent destabilizing (antibonding) interactions, latter denoted by asterisk. The integrated values of IpCOHP/bond represent bond strength.

at – 5 eV (see pCOHP analysis in Fig. 5). The rest of Ga *p* orbitals interact with the bonding e_g orbitals created by the Ni–Mn interaction, resulting in the spin-up bonding peak at – 5 eV and the antibonding peak around – 3 eV dominated by Mn–Ga interaction. The remaining bonding Ni–Mn t_{2g} orbital forms peaks around – 4.5 eV in the spin-up channel. Last, the Ni *d* orbitals interact with the antibonding Ni–Mn *d* orbitals and they occupy higher lying energies.

The pCOHP curves are collected for the four shortest bonds in Fig. 5b–e, where the states are separated into their bonding and antibonding contributions, calculated using U = 3 eV on Ni and Mn. The total strength of a bond can be assessed by the value of pCOHP integrated up to E_F , namely IpCOHP, which gives the energy contribution of an individual bond to the band energy (IpCOHP values are included in Fig. 5). Based on the IpCOHP analysis, the strongest single bonds are formed between Ga and both transition metals. The strong *s*-*s* and *s*-*p* interaction makes the Ni–Ga bond almost twice as stable as those of Mn–Ga and Ni–Mn. The interaction of Mn with Ga is stronger than the Ni–Mn bond which is even shorter. This finding can be explained by the magnetic splitting that moves the *s*-*s* and *s*-*p* character antibonding orbitals in the Mn–Ga interaction above E_F . The *d*-*d* splitting in the Ni–Mn interaction is weaker, and the occupied spin-up t_{2g}^* antibonding states are weakening this bond. The spin-down Ni peak at the Fermi level that contributes to the austenite phase instability

is a half-filled antibonding orbital due to Ni *d*-Ni *d* and Ni *d*-Ga *p* interactions (Fig. 5b,e) in agreement with theory¹⁴ and experiment^{63,64}.

Discussion

Calculations using GGA and hybrid functionals act as two limits in a description of *d* electrons, while DFT +U can be seen as an intermediate approach that is closer to one of the two limits depending on the chosen value of the U parameter. Hybrid functionals are likely to predict too large local magnetic moments on Ni sites in the austenite phase. The magnetic moment of Ni varies from $0.36 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm atom}$ by GGA to $0.97 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm atom}$ by HSE03 and $0.81 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm atom}$ by DFT +U with U = 5 eV on Ni. On the experimental side, the Ni magnetic moments are reported with values larger than $0.4 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm atom}$ at cryogenic temperatures¹⁵⁻¹⁷ and the extrapolation to the 0 K assigns $0.56 \,\mu_{\rm B}/{\rm atom}$ to Ni in the austenite phase¹⁶.

We now bring our results on magnetic moments into close contact with experiments. Both hybrid functionals and DFT +U calculations point towards an increase of magnetic moment in comparison with GGA due to a stronger localization of *d* electrons. The currently available measurements on Ni₂MnGa show considerable differences in total magnetic moments arising from variations in composition and sample preparation. An aspect with a major impact on the measured magnetic moment is the atomic disorder between Mn and Ga sites, which is regularly introduced by heat treatments, being nowadays inherent in the protocols to obtain good shape memory properties. An increase of magnetization was reported in the samples that were slowly cooled from the homogenization temperature^{16,65}. It follows that lower homogenization temperatures below the B2' to L2₁ ordering temperature should be considered to retain the L2₁ ordered structure and after the annealing samples should be slowly cooled in order to allow for Mn-vacancy recombination. The magnetization extrapolation in Fig. 1c also suggests that a modification of the heat treatment process can increase the Curie temperature⁶⁵.

Furthermore, experimental measurements under high magnetic fields show that the slope of the magnetization versus temperature curve of the austenite is steeper than that of the martensite as Fig. 1b,c shows. Therefore the magnetization obtained by extrapolating the austenite curve to 0 K is higher than the measured magnetization of the martensite. From experiments it can be estimated that the magnetic moment of the austenite at 0 K would be greater than 4.5 μ_B /f.u.¹⁶. In addition, a measurement in the austenite phase above the Curie temperature has reported a localized paramagnetic moment of Mn around 3.85 μ_B ⁷. This means that the total magnetic moment of austenite at 0 K would be reliably estimated by our calculations as they predict a total magnetic moment around 5 μ_B /f.u.

Based on the discussion above, the calculations should be able to predict both higher total magnetic moment as well as the larger local magnetic moment of Ni in the austenite when compared to the martensite at 0 K. Previous results using the U correction to GGA with U restricted to Mn atoms predicted higher magnetization of martensite phases. On the other hand, our HSE03 and DFT +U calculations with U on Ni predict a higher magnetization of austenite in agreement with the experimental trend. Because hybrid functionals produce large local magnetic moment on Ni, reasonable results are expected using intermediate values for the U parameter (U = 3 eV) on the Ni and Mn sites in Ni–Mn–Ga alloys.

The U parameter previously determined by seeking an agreement with the experimental bulk modulus equal to 133 GPa⁵¹ proposed U = 3.93 eV for the Mn sites⁴⁴. By studying the calculated bulk modulus, we find that the agreement to the same experimental value (solid black line in Fig. 2b) is achieved with U = 5 eV on Mn only or U = 2–3 eV on both Ni and Mn (the difference arises from including the inner *p*-Ni electrons as valence in our calculations). It seems that in order to get a better agreement with the experimental bulk modulus using lower and more reasonable U values, we have to take into account the U correction also on Ni sites.

We further discuss the results obtained using a promising approach to exchange-correlation such as the recent functional meta-GGA SCAN⁵⁶. SCAN results for Ni₂MnGa are included in SI. Compared with GGA results, the austenite lattice constant is smaller, the magnetic moment is larger, and the *c/a* ratio of NM martensite is well reproduced^{47,55,57}. Since the U parameter overestimates the lattice constant of austenite (see Fig. 2a), the use of meta-GGA SCAN +U could result in a better agreement with the experiments. Anyway, SCAN already approaches higher magnetic moments than GGA^{47,55,57} and correctly predicts higher magnetization of austenite over martensite phase in agreement with our results. Therefore, smaller values of the parameter U would be necessary in the meta-GGA + U approach, which is consistent with the metallic nature of the constituent elements.

Moreover, DFT +U plays a role in the stability of modulated martensite phases. It was reported that the U correction applied to Mn *d* orbitals already predicts the experimental 10 M martensite as the ground state structure⁴⁷. The U parameter assigned to Ni favours tetragonal symmetry and even predicts structures with c/a < 1. We therefore show that U on Ni can be expected to stabilize modulated structures with tetragonality below c/a = 1 as well, however, this question needs further investigation employing a supercell approach including shuffling of planes that is beyond the scope of this work.

Last but not least, we suggest a simple approximation to take into account the effect of temperature using the fixed spin magnetic moment calculations, idea that was successfully implemented before⁶⁶. We showed that the structural stability along the tetragonal deformation path is mainly guided by the total magnetic moment in all levels of approximation. The austenite phase corresponds to a high magnetic moment and the NM martensite to a low magnetization solution. We can see this splitting in HSE03 results as well as GGA +U (Fig. 4) and SCAN +U (see SI Fig. 1) with large values of U on Ni and Mn. By fixing larger total magnetic moment in the calculation we can destabilize the tetragonal structure and at certain point the high temperature cubic austenite becomes the stable structure. We thus have a simple approach to mimic temperature effects by increasing or decreasing the total magnetic moment per unit cell.

In summary, we studied structural, magnetic and electronic properties of Ni_2MnGa magnetic shape memory alloy using the HSE03 hybrid functional and the DFT +U approach. The hybrid functionals seem to improve the

simulation accuracy as the magnetic moment increases due to localization on Ni atoms; however, it comes related with a large local magnetic moment and a small bulk modulus for the austenite phase. Thus, a highly advantageous approximation to the exchange-correlation functional for Ni–Mn–Ga alloys is the DFT +U method, which can serve as a bridge between delocalized GGA and localized hybrids by adjusting the level of localization with the U parameter. The use of the U parameter on Ni correctly predicts a higher magnetization of the austenite phase compared to the martensite at 0 K that is in agreement with experiments and has a physical origin. Furthermore, the NM martensite is stabilized, an effect that is missing when applying the U parameter is restricted to Mn. As a side effect, it predicts the existence of the tetragonal variant with *c/a* ratio lower than one, in agreement with experiments. It also helps to obtain a bulk modulus comparable with the available measured data with lower values of U than in the case of U solely on Mn. We therefore conclude that the U parameter around 3 eV should be assigned to both Mn and Ni in order to increase the accuracy of future DFT calculations on Ni–Mn–Ga alloys. In addition, there is a relation between the total magnetic moment and the found structural phases.

We have also performed a chemical bond analysis and showed that the interaction of Ga with Ni (and Mn) lowers the total energy more (is more stabilizing) than the interaction between transition metals. This suggests that Z elements in X_2YZ Heusler alloys play an important role in bonding interactions that contribute to the lowering of the total energy, a fact to be emphasized as Z elements are non magnetic and as such were not much commented in previous works.

Methods

We used density functional theory in combination with projected-augmented wave potentials (PAW)⁶⁷ as implemented in the Vienna ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP)^{68,69}. The exchange-correlation potential following the hybrid functional HSE03³³ is approximated by the full Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE)²⁶ correlation and a weighted combination of one-quarter of HF and three-quarters of PBE for the exchange part. The calculations using GGA and DFT +U⁷⁰ were performed using the PBE parameterized exchange-correlation functional with non-spherical contributions inside the PAW spheres. The first Brillouin zone (BZ) of the eight atoms unit cell (shown in Fig. 1a) was sampled using the gamma-centered Monkhorst-Pack grids of 11 × 11 × 5 k-points in the case of the hybrids and 17 × 17 × 9 in the case of DFT +U, k-meshes were tested for convergence. The Gaussian smearing method for integration over the BZ was used with a 0.05 eV smearing width. The plane wave electronic orbitals were expanded with a cut-off energy of 450 eV in HSE03 and 500 eV in DFT +U. For higher accuracy, valence electrons were set to Ni 3p⁶ 3d⁹ 4s¹, Mn 3p⁶ 3d⁶ 4s¹ and Ga 3d¹⁰ 4s² 4p¹ in all calculations, including hybrid functionals. The total energy convergence criterion was set to 10⁻⁴ eV and 10⁻⁵ eV per unit cell for HSE03 and DFT +U, respectively.

We also performed the localized basis set calculations using the LOBSTER package^{60,61} that allowed us to study the chemical bonding in solid state materials. In the calculation setup, BZ integration uses the tetrahedron method with Blöchl corrections on a $15 \times 15 \times 11$ k-points grid, a 600 eV cut-off energy, and an electronic convergence criterion equal to 10^{-6} eV per unit cell.

Data availability

The data obtained for purposes of this work can be requested from the corresponding author.

Received: 12 September 2022; Accepted: 2 November 2022 Published online: 29 November 2022

References

- Ullakko, K., Huang, J. K., Kantner, C., O'Handley, R. C. & Kokorin, V. V. Large magnetic-field-induced strains in Ni₂MnGa single crystals. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 69, 1966–1968 (1996).
- 2. Ullakko, K. Magnetically controlled shape memory alloys: A new class of actuator materials. J. Mater. Eng. Perform. 5, 405–409 (1996).
- Sozinov, A., Lanska, N., Soroka, A. & Zou, W. 12% magnetic field-induced strain in Ni–Mn–Ga-based non-modulated martensite. Appl. Phys. Lett. 102, 021902 (2013).
- Heczko, O., Sozinov, A. & Ullakko, K. Giant field-induced reversible strain in magnetic shape memory NiMnGa alloy. *IEEE T. Magn.* 36, 3266–3268 (2000).
- Seiner, H., Zelený, M., Sedlák, P., Straka, L. & Heczko, O. Experimental observations versus first-principles calculations for Ni– Mn–Ga ferromagnetic shape memory alloys: A review. *Phys. Status Solidi RRL* 16, 2100632 (2022).
- 6. Webster, P. J. Heusler alloys. Contemp. Phys. 10, 559-577 (1969).
- Webster, P. J., Ziebeck, K. R. A., Town, S. L. & Peak, M. S. Magnetic order and phase transformation in Ni₂MnGa. *Philos. Mag. B* 49, 295–310 (1984).
- Martynov, V. V. & Kokorin, V. V. The crystal structure of thermally-and stress-induced martensites in Ni₂MnGa single crystals. J. Phys. III(2), 739–749 (1992).
- Righi, L. *et al.* Incommensurate modulated structure of the ferromagnetic shape-memory Ni₂MnGa martensite. J. Solid State Chem. 179, 3525–3533 (2006).
- 10. Velikokhatnyi, O. I. & Naumov, I. I. Electronic structure and instability of Ni₂MnGa. Phys. Solid State 41, 617–623 (1999).
- 11. Lee, Y., Rhee, J. Y. & Harmon, B. N. Generalized susceptibility of the magnetic shape-memory alloy Ni₂MnGa. *Phys. Rev. B* 66, 054424 (2002).
- Zheludev, A., Shapiro, S. M., Wochner, P. & Tanner, L. E. Precursor effects and premartensitic transformation in Ni₂MnGa. *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 15045–15050 (1996).
- Mañosa, L. *et al.* Anomalies related to the TA₂-phonon-mode condensation in the Heusler Ni₂MnGa alloy. *Phys. Rev. B* 55, 11068 (1997).
- Ayuela, A., Enkovaara, J., Ullakko, K. & Nieminen, R. M. Structural properties of magnetic Heusler alloys. J. Condens. Matter Phys. 11, 2017 (1999).
- Brown, P. J., Bargawi, A. Y., Crangle, J., Neumann, K.-U. & Ziebeck, K. R. A. Direct observation of a band Jahn–Teller effect in the martensitic phase transition of Ni₂MnGa. *J. Condens. Matter Phys.* 11, 4715 (1999).

- Ooiwa, K., Endo, K. & Shinogi, A. A structural phase transition and magnetic properties in a Heusler alloy Ni₂MnGa. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 104, 2011–2012 (1992).
- Khovailo, V. V. *et al.* Magnetic properties and magnetostructural phase transitions in Ni_{2+x}Mn_{1-x}Ga shape memory alloys. *Phys. Rev. B* 70, 174413 (2004).
- Devarajan, U., Esakki Muthu, S., Arumugam, S., Singh, S. & Barman, S. R. Investigation of the influence of hydrostatic pressure on the magnetic and magnetocaloric properties of Ni_{2-X}Mn_{1+X}Ga (X=0, 0.15) Heusler alloys. J. Appl. Phys. 114, 053906 (2013).

19. Enkovaara, J., Heczko, O., Ayuela, A. & Nieminen, R. M. Coexistence of ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic order in Mn-doped Ni₂MnGa. *Phys. Rev. B* 67, 212405 (2003).

- 20. Overholser, R. W., Wuttig, M. & Neumann, D. A. Chemical ordering in Ni-Mn-Ga Heusler alloys. Scr. Mater. 40, 1095-1102 (1999).
- Chaboy, J. et al. XAS and XMCD study of the influence of annealing on the atomic ordering and magnetism in an NiMnGa alloy. J. Condens. Matter Phys. 21, 016002 (2008).
- 22. Río-López, N. A. *et al.* Neutron scattering as a powerful tool to investigate magnetic shape memory alloys: A review. *Metals* 11, 829 (2021).
- 23. Kohn, W. & Sham, L. J. Self-consistent equations including exchange and correlation effects. Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965).
- 24. Fujii, S., Ishida, S. & Asano, S. Electronic structure and lattice transformation in Ni₂MnGa and Co₂NbSn. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* 58, 3657–3665 (1989).
- Wang, Y. & Perdew, J. P. Correlation hole of the spin-polarized electron gas, with exact small-wave-vector and high-density scaling. Phys. Rev. B 44, 13298 (1991).
- 26. Perdew, J. P., Burke, K. & Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- 27. Seidl, A., Görling, A., Vogl, P., Majewski, J. A. & Levy, M. Generalized Kohn–Sham schemes and the band-gap problem. *Phys. Rev.* B 53, 3764 (1996).
- 28. Perdew, J. P. & Zunger, A. Self-interaction correction to density-functional approximations for many-electron systems. *Phys. Rev.* B 23, 5048 (1981).
- 29. Zhang, Y. & Yang, W. A challenge for density functionals: Self-interaction error increases for systems with a noninteger number of electrons. *J. Chem. Phys.* **109**, 2604–2608 (1998).
- 30. Marsman, M., Paier, J., Štroppa, A. & Kresse, G. Hybrid functionals applied to extended systems. J. Condens. Matter Phys. 20, 064201 (2008).
- 31. Anisimov, V. I., Zaanen, J. & Andersen, O. K. Band theory and Mott insulators: Hubbard U instead of Stoner I. Phys. Rev. B 44, 943 (1991).
- Cococcioni, M. & De Gironcoli, S. Linear response approach to the calculation of the effective interaction parameters in the LDA+ U method. *Phys. Rev. B* 71, 035105 (2005).
- Heyd, J., Scuseria, G. E. & Ernzerhof, M. Hybrid functionals based on a screened Coulomb potential. J. Chem. Phys. 118, 8207–8215 (2003).
- 34. Paier, J. et al. Screened hybrid density functionals applied to solids. J. Chem. Phys. 124, 154709 (2006).
- 35. Gao, W. *et al.* On the applicability of hybrid functionals for predicting fundamental properties of metals. *Solid State Commun.* 234, 10–13 (2016).
- Jang, Y.-R. & Deok Yu, B. Hybrid functional study of the structural and electronic properties of Co and Ni. J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 81, 114715 (2012).
- 37. Xing, W. et al. Vacancy formation enthalpy of filled-band noble metals by hybrid functionals. Phys. Rev. B 90, 144105 (2014).
- Zhang, Y., Kresse, G. & Wolverton, C. Nonlocal first-principles calculations in Cu-Au and other intermetallic alloys. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 112, 075502 (2014).
- 39. D'Souza, S. W. et al. Coexistence of charge-density wave and ferromagnetism in Ni₂MnGa. Phys. Rev. B 85, 085123 (2012).
- Bilc, D. I. & Ghosez, P. Electronic and thermoelectric properties of Fe₂VAI: The role of defects and disorder. *Phys. Rev. B* 83, 205204 (2011).
- 41. Islam, Z. et al. An X-ray study of non-zero nickel moment in a ferromagnetic shape-memory alloy. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 303, 20-25 (2006).
- Anisimov, V. I., Aryasetiawan, F. & Lichtenstein, A. I. First-principles calculations of the electronic structure and spectra of strongly correlated systems: The LDA+ U method. J. Condens. Matter Phys. 9, 767 (1997).
- Himmetoglu, B., Katukuri, V. M. & Cococcioni, M. Origin of magnetic interactions and their influence on the structural properties of Ni₂MnGa and related compounds. *J. Condens. Matter Phys.* 24, 185501 (2012).
- 44. Koubský, T. et al. Ab initio study of martensitic transition in Ni2MnGa. Acta Phys. Pol. A 134, 2019 (2018).
- Bodnárová, L. et al. Switching the soft shearing mode orientation in Ni-Mn-Ga non-modulated martensite by Co and Cu doping. Smart Mater. Struct. 29, 045022 (2020).
- Zelený, M., Straka, L., Rameš, M., Sozinov, A. & Heczko, O. Origin of magnetocrystalline anisotropy in Ni–Mn–Ga–Co–Cu tetragonal martensite. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 503, 166522 (2020).
- Zelený, M. et al. Effect of electron localization in theoretical design of Ni–Mn–Ga based magnetic shape memory alloys. Mater. Des. 209, 109917 (2021).
- Zelený, M., Straka, L., Sozinov, A. & Heczko, O. Ab initio prediction of stable nanotwin double layers and 4O structure in Ni₂ MnGa. *Phys. Rev. B* 94, 224108 (2016).
- Ayuela, A., Enkovaara, J. & Nieminen, R. M. Ab initio study of tetragonal variants in Ni₂MnGa alloy. J. Condens. Matter Phys. 14, 5325 (2002).
- Enkovaara, J., Ayuela, A., Jalkanen, J., Nordström, L. & Nieminen, R. M. First-principles calculations of spin spirals in Ni₂MnGa and Ni₂MnAl. *Phys. Rev. B* 67, 054417 (2003).
- Seiner, H. *et al.* Combined effect of structural softening and magneto-elastic coupling on elastic coefficients of NiMnGa austenite. *J. Alloys Compd.* 577, S131–S135 (2013).
- Worgull, J., Petti, E. & Trivisonno, J. Behavior of the elastic properties near an intermediate phase transition in Ni₂MnGa. *Phys. Rev. B* 54, 15695 (1996).
- 53. Krukau, A. V., Vydrov, O. A., Izmaylov, A. F. & Scuseria, G. E. Influence of the exchange screening parameter on the performance of screened hybrid functionals. J. Chem. Phys. 125, 224106 (2006).
- Adamo, C. & Barone, V. Toward reliable density functional methods without adjustable parameters: The PBE0 model. J. Chem. Phys. 110, 6158–6170 (1999).
- Baigutlin, D. R. et al. Electronic structure beyond the generalized gradient approximation for Ni₂MnGa. Phys. Rev. B 102, 045127 (2020).
- Sun, J., Ruzsinszky, A. & Perdew, J. P. Strongly constrained and appropriately normed semilocal density functional. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115, 036402 (2015).
- 57. Buchelnikov, V. D. *et al.* Correlation effects on ground-state properties of ternary Heusler alloys: First-principles study. *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 014426 (2019).
- Zayak, A. T., Entel, P., Enkovaara, J., Ayuela, A. & Nieminen, R. M. First-principles investigation of phonon softenings and lattice instabilities in the shape-memory system Ni₂MnGa. *Phys. Rev. B* 68, 132402 (2003).

- Luo, H.-B. *et al.* First-principles investigations of the five-layer modulated martensitic structure in Ni₂Mn(Al_xGa_{1-x}) alloys. *Acta Mater.* 59, 5938–5945 (2011).
- 60. Maintz, S., Deringer, V. L., Tchougréeff, A. L. & Dronskowski, R. LOBSTER: A tool to extract chemical bonding from plane-wave based DFT. J. Comput. Chem. 37, 1030–1035 (2016).
- Nelson, R. *et al.* LOBSTER: Local orbital projections, atomic charges, and chemical-bonding analysis from projector-augmentedwave-based density-functional theory. *J. Comput. Chem.* 41, 1931–1940 (2020).
- Dronskowski, R. & Blöchl, P. E. Crystal orbital Hamilton populations (COHP): Energy-resolved visualization of chemical bonding in solids based on density-functional calculations. J. Phys. Chem. 97, 8617–8624 (1993).
- 63. Kopecký, V., Rameš, M., Veřtát, P., Colman, R. H. & Heczko, O. Full variation of site substitution in Ni–Mn–Ga by ferromagnetic transition metals. *Metals* 11, 850 (2021).
- 64. Singh, S., Rawat, R. & Barman, S. R. Existence of modulated structure and negative magnetoresistance in Ga excess Ni–Mn–Ga. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **99**, 021902 (2011).
- Straka, L., Fekete, L., Rameš, M., Belas, E. & Heczko, O. Magnetic coercivity control by heat treatment in Heusler Ni–Mn–Ga(–B) single crystals. Acta Mater. 169, 109–121 (2019).
- Uijttewaal, M., Hickel, T., Neugebauer, J., Gruner, M. E. & Entel, P. Understanding the phase transitions of the Ni₂MnGa magnetic shape memory system from first principles. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 035702 (2009).
- 67. Blöchl, P. E. Projector augmented-wave method. Phys. Rev. B 50, 17953 (1994).
- Kresse, G. & Furthmüller, J. Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set. Comp. Mater. Sci. 6, 15–50 (1996).
- 69. Kresse, G. & Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. Phys. Rev. B 59, 1758 (1999).
- Dudarev, S. L., Botton, G. A., Savrasov, S. Y., Humphreys, C. J. & Sutton, A. P. Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+ U study. *Phys. Rev. B* 57, 1505 (1998).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Czech Science Foundation, Grant No. 21-06613S, and by the Operational Program Research, Development and Education financed by the European Structural and Investment Funds and the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS CR) (project MATFUN CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/15_003/0000 487). J.J. and A.A. acknowledges the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation with PID2019-105488GB-I00, TED2021-132074B-C32, and PCI2019-103657, the Basque Government through the University of the Basque Country Project No. IT-1569-22, the European Commission from the NRG-STORAGE Project (No. GA 870114), H2020-FET OPEN Project MIRACLE (No. GA 964450), EUSKAMPUS foundation and the "Green Concrete" Transborder Lab.

Author contributions

J.J.—calculations, analysis and visualization, writing, M.Z.—discussion, review, editing and supervision, O.H.—discussion, review and editing, A.A.—analysis, writing, editing and supervision.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-022-23575-1.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to A.A.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2022